

vat

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

APPEAL No. 969 OF 2010
IN
SUIT No. 1580 OF 2004
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION No.____ 2010

Mrs.Indrani wd/o. Late Surendrakumar
Chowdhary and ors.Appellants
Vs.
Sukriti Maingi and Anr.Respondents

Mr.Santosh Saroj a/w. Mr. Ashok Sarogi for Appellants
Mr. A.M. Vernekar a/w. Mr. Samarth Pai for Respondents

CORAM : V. M. KANADE &
A.R. JOSHI, J.J.

DATE : APRIL 1, 2015

P.C. :

1. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellants submits that the Appellants have taken away the papers and he has no longer appearing on behalf of the Appellants. He has submitted that he has not received any instructions from the Appellants.
2. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the Respondents submits that the appeal, in fact, has become infructuous since the decree has been fully executed and the possession of the flat has already been delivered. In view of this, appeal is disposed of since it has become infructuous.

[A.R. JOSHI, J.]

[V. M. KANADE, J.]