

Shephali

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION
TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO. 79 OF 2010
IN
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO. 111 OF 2010**

Ramjanam Sharma	...Plaintiff
<i>Versus</i>	
Smt. Urmila Mohanlal Sharma	...Defendant

Mr. S. Saiyed, i/b M/s. Wadia Ghandy & Co., for the Plaintiff.
Mr. A. Bagwe, for the Defendant.

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 28th September 2015

PC:-

1. The Suit is settled. Consent terms are tendered. These are signed by the three Plaintiffs and the Defendant. Plaintiffs Nos. 1 and 3 are personally present in Court. The signature of the Defendant is identified by his Advocate. The consent terms are taken on record and marked "X" for identification. Undertakings in the consent terms are accepted as undertakings to the Court.

2. The Suit and Petition are both disposed of in accordance with the consent terms.

3. Commissioner stands discharged without passing accounts.
4. The Caveat is allowed to be withdrawn. Petition is to proceed as an uncontested Petition for probate.
5. All concerned to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)

CERTIFICATE

“Certified to be a true and correct copy of the original signed Judgment/Order.”