

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.247 OF 2012  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 1925 OF 2011

---

Office Notes, Office  
memorandum of Coram,  
appearances, Court's  
orders or directions &  
Registrar's orders.

Court's or  
Judge's orders.

---

Shri M.S. Karnik i/by Sandeep V. Bane for the Applicants.  
Shri Rajiv Mane, AGP for the Respondent State.  
Mrs. Shobha Ajitkumar for the BMC.  
Shri Prasad Dhakephalkar, Senior Counsel along with Ms. Nidhi Singh i/by Vidhii Partners  
for the Respondent No.7.

—

CORAM : A.S. OKA &  
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ  
DATED : 17TH JULY 2015

P.C.:

. The learned senior counsel appearing for the Seventh Respondent states that it is not possible for the said Respondent to provide a transit accommodation to the Applicants at the site of the redevelopment scheme inasmuch as there is no place available to provide transit accommodation. It is not in dispute that the Applicants are already in occupation of the transit accommodation at Mahalaxmi, Mumbai.

2. By accepting the aforesaid statement of the learned senior counsel appearing for the Seventh Respondent, we dispose of the Notice of Motion.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the Applicants makes a grievance that the work of development is not making any satisfactory progress. If that be so, it is for the Applicants to take out appropriate proceedings in that behalf.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J)

(A.S.OKA, J)