

ssp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (L)NO.2153 OF 2013

Devyani M. Pursha ...Petitioner
vs.
The State of Maharashtra
and others ...Respondents

Mr.S.G.Deshmukh a/w Mr.Gautam T. Kanchanpurkar for
the Petitioner
Mr.U.S.Upadhyay, AGP for the respondent No.1
Ms Shobha Ajitkumar for respondent Nos.2 and 3
Mr.F.P.Poonwalla i/b Yatin R. Shah for the applicant
in Chamber Summons (L) No.381/2013
Mr.Nandakumar Meghani, Sub Engineer (Maintenance), S
ward present.

CORAM : A.S.OKA, &
V.L.ACHLIYA,JJ.
DATE : OCTOBER 16, 2015

P.C.:

1 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3. Perused the affidavit of Shri Dilip Santosh Ahire filed on behalf of the Mumbai Municipal Corporation which is dated 12th June 2014. In paragraph 4 of the affidavit, a statement on oath has been made that the petitioner is declared as eligible for allotment of alternate accommodation in the project of 60 feet wide Development Plan Road. In view of the statement as aforesaid, the learned counsel for the Mumbai Municipal Corporation states that the allotment of premises will be made to the petitioner and that the petitioner will be placed in possession within a period of two weeks from today. We accept

the said statement. She further states that till the date on the petitioner is placed in possession of the allotted premises, the petitioner shall not be evicted from the present premises being Stall No.27. We accept the aforesaid statements.

2 In view of these statements, nothing survives in the petition and the same is disposed of. Pending Chamber Summons and Notice of Motion are also disposed of.

(V.L.ACHLIYA,J.)

(A.S.OKA,J.)