

nsc.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.198 OF 2004
WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.106 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1583 OF 2004**

Sangita V. Patil ...Applicant

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Prasad Mhatre ...Petitioner

v/s.

Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai and Ors. ...Respondents.

None for the Applicant in both the Applications.

Ms.Sumedha Rao, for the Petitioner.

Ms.Geeta Jogalekar, for the Respondent – BMC.

Mr.M.P.Jadhav, for the Respondent Nos.4 and 5.

Mr.S.J.Kadam, for the Respondent No.6.

**CORAM: A. S. OKA &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.**

DATED : 12th AUGUST, 2015.

P.C.

1. None appears for the Applicant who has taken out the Chamber Summons.

2. The prayers made in the Chamber Summons are completely misconceived considering the scope of the petition. If the applicant has any grievance it is for the applicant to file substantive proceedings in accordance with law.

3. Subject to what is observed above, the Chamber Summons are disposed of.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE,J.)

(A.S. OKA,J.)