

mm

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 750 OF 2010

IN

NOTICE NO. 1397 OF 2006

IN

EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 456 OF 2006

IN

BANGALORE MISC. CASE NO. 63 OF 2000

Karnataka State Industrial Investment &
Development Corporation Ltd.

...Petitioner

Vs.

M/s. Amylon Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

...Respondents

And

Ram Gurudas Chandramani

...Intervenor

None present for the parties

CORAM : MRS. ROSHAN DALVI, J.

DATED :30TH JANUARY, 2015

P.C. :

1. Parties and Advocates absent. The applicant has sought to raise the attachment levied upon the attached property. He claims that he has paid the consideration for the said premises though the premises was taken in the name of his father (judgment debtor). That contention has been considered even in a suit filed in the Bombay City Civil Court. The applicant has not shown his title to the attached premises. The applicant has not proved the consideration paid by him. The applicant would also have to show

how the attached premises was held Benami in the name of his father.

2. For want of any such evidence ad-interim injunction has been refused on 12th March, 2014.
3. Nothing whatsoever has been shown for raising the attachment. The chamber summons is dismissed.
4. The attachment shall continue. The execution shall proceed.

(ROSHAN DALVI, J.)