

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2678 OF 2014

Bakshu Navisha Chand	}	Petitioner
versus		
The State of Maharashtra	}	
and Ors.	}	Respondents

Ms. Ratna Jaiswal with Ms. C. S. Gongane for
the Petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Sayyed-AGP for Respondent Nos. 1
and 2.

Mr. Sachin B. Mhaske for Respondent Nos. 3
and 4.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G. S. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATED :- JUNE 10, 2015

P.C. :-

After having heard the Petitioner's Advocate at some length and considering the prayers in the Writ Petition, we are of the view that the remedy of the Writ Petitioner is to approach competent Court/authority in the event he is aggrieved by any wrongful act of the lender and the borrowers/guarantors. If the Petitioner has borrowed loans and ready to repay the sums but the liability of somebody else is sought to be foisted on the Petitioner, then we have no doubt that the Petitioner can approach the competent Courts and authorities and seek

redressal of his grievance. Surely the Writ Petition is not a remedy to resolve such issues. The Writ Petition is therefore completely misconceived and stands dismissed.

(G.S.KULKARNI, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)