

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1574 OF 2013

Mrs. Bharati Shrikrishna More	}	Petitioner
versus		
Mumbai Housing & Area Development	}	
Board and Anr.	}	Respondents

Mr. Sunil J. Kanoujia for the Petitioner.

Mr. Shankar P. Thorat for Respondent No. 1.

Ms. Rachana Chavan i/b. Ms. Suvarna M.
Naik for Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G. S. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATED :- JUNE 10, 2015

P.C. :-

After the Writ Petition was heard for some time, the Petitioner's Advocate, realising that the remedy is to approach the competent authority under section 65 of the Maharashtra Housing and Area Development Act, 1976, submitted that the Petitioner would file such an application and in the requisite format with relevant documents before such competent authority within two weeks from today. The competent authority to then deal with the application on its own merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

2) We dispose of the Writ Petition by accepting the statement of the Petitioner and if the requisite application is filed, the same may be dealt with on its own merits and in accordance with law, expeditiously. We clarify that we have not expressed any opinion on the rival contentions.

(G.S.KULKARNI, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)