

ssp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.207 OF 2015 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.634 OF 2012

Ritesh Kumar Popatlal Chheda ...Applicant
vs.
Municipal Corportion of
Greater Mumbai & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr.Nitesh Acharya for the applicant
Mr.H.C.Pimple for BMC.
Mr.Mayur Khandeparkar i/b Madekar & Co.for the
respondent

CORAM : A.S.OKA, &
C.V.BHADANG, JJ.
DATE : MAY 5, 2015

P.C.:

1 From the reply of the sixth respondent it appears that the representation made by the applicant/petitioner has been decided by the Mumbai Municipal Corporation and the communication dated 11th April 2014 has been served upon the applicant.

2 If according to the applicant/petitioner, the decision on the representation is erroneous, remedy of the applicant is to challenge the same in accordance with law. Hence, no relief can be granted in this Notice of Motion. Hence, Notice of Motion is disposed of by keeping the remedies of the parties open.

(C.V.BHADANG,J.)

(A.S.OKA,J.)