

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION (L) No. 633 OF 2015

Vinod Amritlal Thakkar & ors. ... Petitioners

v/s

The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... Respondents

Mr.A.M. Sarogi for the petitioners.

Mr.H.S. Venegaonkar, A.G.P. for the State-Respondent No.1.

Mrs.Shobha Ajithkumar for the respondent No.2.

***CORAM: MRS. VASANTI A. NAIK &
MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR, JJ.***

DATED : 9TH MARCH, 2015

P.C.:

Heard.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that, if the application filed by the petitioners for regularization of the loft/mezzanine floor is rejected, the petitioners are desirous of filing an appeal before the concerned department of the State Government. It is stated that the order rejecting the application for regularization is yet not served on the petitioners. The learned counsel states that the petitioner would approach the State

Government within a period of 15 days and this Court may restrain the respondents from demolishing the premises till the application of the petitioner for the interim relief is considered by the State Government.

The request made on behalf of the petitioners is just and reasonable. We accept the statement made on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners would file an appeal against the order rejecting the application for regularization before the State Government within a short time. In the facts of the case, we restrain the respondent Corporation from demolishing the premises in question for a period of one month only.

Order accordingly. No order as to costs.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)

(VASANTI A. NAIK, J.)