

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.1544 OF 2000
WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.22 OF 2002
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.131 OF 2004**

Tata Hsg. Development Co. Ltd. & Ors. ... Petitioners
Vs.
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Avinash Ram Belge i/b Aditya Chitale, for the Petitioners.
Mrs. Geeta Jogalekar, for the Respondent - BMC.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
V.L. ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 2nd SEPTEMBER, 2015

PC.

. It is pointed out that the Petitioners have taken back the papers of the Petition from the Advocate for the Petitioners. The Petition is of the year 2000. It appears that the Petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the Petition. Accordingly, we dismiss the Petition for non-prosecution. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Chamber Summons No.22 of 2002 and Notice of Motion No.131 of 2004 do not survive and the same are disposed of. If any interim order is operative, the same stands vacated.

(V.L. ACHLIYA, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)

Certified to be true and correct copy of the original signed
Judgment/order.