

SQP

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 568 OF 2014**

M/s. J. V. Constructions & Developers	...Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

Mr. Abhishek Patil i/b Ms. Savina Bangera for the Petitioner

Mr. Kunal Shah, Sr. Advocate i/b M/s. Udaipuri & Co. for the Respondent Nos. 8, 9 & 10

Mr. Vinod Mahadik for the Respondent-BMC

**CORAM : A. S. OKA &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.
THURSDAY, 2ND JULY, 2015**

P.C. :

1. Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not pressing the petition, as the building in question is not in existence. The learned Senior Counsel representing respondent Nos. 8, 9 and 10 submits that the said respondents are on streets and therefore, appropriate directions be issued. No relief can be granted in this petition to the said respondents. The said respondents are free to adopt appropriate proceedings

2. Subject to what is stated above, petition is dismissed as not pressed.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)

(A. S. OKA, J.)