

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CHAMBER SUMMONS (L.) NO.47 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.3093 OF 2014**

Dayalbhai Devji Bhai Patel & Anr.

... Applicants

In the matter between

Sunil Babani H.U.F.,

... Petitioner

Through its Karta Mr. Sunil Babani

Vs.

Municipal Corporation of Greater of Mumbai and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Vijay Waghela, for the Applicants.

Mr. Birendra Saraf a/w Mr. Chirag Modi i/by Mr. Dushyant Purekar, for
Petitioner in WP/3093 of 2014.

Mr. N.V. Gangal for the Respondent Nos.2 to 42.

Mrs. Shobha Ajitkumar, for Respondent – BMC.

Mr. Gaurav Joshi, Senior Counsel i/b Mr. Anil D'Souza, for Respondent
No.43.

Mr. Mrugank Shah, for Respondent No.44 to 52.

CORAM : A.S. OKA &**A.P. BHANGALE, JJ.****DATE : 8th APRIL, 2015****PC.**

. The learned counsel representing the parties to the consent terms filed in the Writ Petition state that the Applicants are not bound by the consent terms and the consent order passed in the Writ Petition. We accept the said statement.

2. The Applicants are not parties to the Writ Petition and to the consent terms. Therefore, even otherwise, the consent terms and the consent order will not bind them. Subject to what is observed above, the Chamber Summons is disposed of.

(A.P. BHANGALE, J)**(A.S. OKA, J)**