

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
APPEAL (L) NO.189 OF 2015
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.62 OF 2014
IN
SUIT NO.54 OF 2014**

Lakeview Developers & Ors.

....Appellants.

Vs.

Eternia Co-operative Housing
Society Ltd. And Ors.

..... Respondents.

ALONGWITH
APPEAL (L) NO.128 OF 2015
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.62 OF 2014
IN
SUIT NO.54 OF 2014
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION (L) NO.321 OF 2015
IN
APPEAL (L) NO.128 OF 2015

Eternia Co-operative Housing
Society Ltd. And Ors.

.....Appellants

Vs.

Lakeview Developers & Ors.

....Respondents.

INDEX

Sr. No	Particulars	P. Nos
1.	Cause Title & Appearances	1-8
2.	Summary of the Judgment	8-11
3.	Brief facts and gist of arguments on behalf of the Appellants/developer and Respondents/Plaintiffs.	11-14
4.	Scope of power of the Appellate Court under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent Act.	15-17
5.	Facts	18-23
6.	Question for consideration and rival claims	23-28

7.	Relevant provisions of MOFA including following of judgment in <i>Kalpita Enclave</i> .	28-36
8.	Ratio of Judgment in <i>Jayantilal Investments, paras 15 to 19</i> , including the facts of the said case.	36-46
9.	Analysis of the judgment in paras 42 to 45	46-50
10.	The facts in detail pertaining to the tripartite agreement, individual agreements with the flat purchasers and the relevant clauses.	50-54
11.	Findings from para 51 onwards	55-61
12.	Judgments cited by Appellants/developer	61-64
13.	The Law of precedents	64-66
14.	Observations on provisions of MOFA	66-68
15.	Law laid down by the Supreme Court on grant of injunction.	68-71
16.	Final Verdict	71-72