

Atul

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION
TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO. 18 OF 1997
IN
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO. 916 OF 1996**

Chamanlal R. Oberoi

...Plaintiff

Versus

Martha A. Borges

...Defendant

Mr. R.A. Shaikh, *for the Plaintiffs.*

Mr. M.S. D'Mello, *for the Defendants.*

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J

DATED: 7th September 2015

PC:-

1. Plaintiff No. 1 is suffering from serious ailment. Learned Advocate for the Plaintiffs has with him a copy of the letter dated 3rd August 2015 giving him instructions to file the consent terms that are separately tendered.

2. The consent terms are signed on behalf of the Plaintiffs Nos. 1(a) to 1(e) by Plaintiff No. 1(a), the person who has given authority; and on behalf of the partners of the Defendants/Caveators by their their Constituted Attorney. They are also signed by the Advocates

for the parties. The consent terms are taken on record and marked “X” for identification. The undertakings in the consent terms are accepted as undertakings to the Court.

3. The Suit is disposed of in accordance with the consent terms. No order as to costs.

4. Refund of court fee, if any, in accordance with the Rules.

5. All concerned to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)

“Certified to be a true and correct copy of the signed Judgment /Order.”