

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CHAMBER SUMMONS (LODG.) NO. 39 OF 2015
IN
SUIT NO. 1495 OF 2010**

Pankaj Ramniklal Shah	.. Plaintiff
Vs.	
Navinchandra Umedchand Shah & Ors.	.. Defendants
And	
Priyang Navinchandra Shah	.. Respondent

Ms. Seema S. Hunnurkar for plaintiff.

Ms.Ambreen Shaheed i/b MDP & Partners for defendant no.1.

Mr.Jayesh Gawade i/b Thakore Jariwala & Associates for defendant no.4.

Mr.Viral Shukla i/b M/s. Shukla & Associates for respondent.

**CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.
DATE : 2ND MARCH, 2015**

P.C.

1 This chamber summons is taken out to bring on record the legal heirs of defendant no.10 who expired on 1st April 2014 and consequential amendment to the cause title. The counsel appearing for the respondent/legal representative of defendant no.1 has no objection if the chamber summons is allowed.

2 As the counsel for defendant no.1 has no objection and for the reasons mentioned in the affidavit in support, the chamber summons is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

The amendment to be carried out and a copy of the amended plaint to be served upon the defendants including newly added defendants within three weeks from today. All the defendants to file written statement/additional written statement, if any, within four weeks of receiving the amended plaint.

3 By an order dated 16th February 2015, the plaintiff was directed to have the chamber summons numbered. However, the chamber summons continues to be in lodging number and the plaintiff has not complied with the directions of this Court. Therefore, the plaintiff to have the chamber summons numbered on or before 7th March 2015. The plaintiff also to pay a sum of Rs.3,000/- as costs to the Maharashtra Legal Aids Authority for non-complying the directions of this Court.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)