

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY****APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO. 2776 OF 2015**

Mrs. Geeta Ashok Bhosale & Anr. ....Petitioners.  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ....Respondents.

**WITH**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 2777 OF 2015**

Mrs. Sushma Arjun Parab & Anr. ....Petitioners.  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ....Respondents.

**WITH**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 2778 OF 2015**

Smt. Sangeeta Suresh Khadse & Anr. ....Petitioners.  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ....Respondents.

**WITH**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 2779 OF 2015**

Prashant Prakash Nemishte & Anr. ....Petitioners.  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ....Respondents.

**WITH**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 2151 OF 2015**

Prashant Honrao Gabhale & Anr. ....Petitioners.  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ....Respondents.

**WITH**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 2803 OF 2015**

Shri Chinmay Sadashiv Walwadkar & Ors. ....Petitioners.  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ....Respondents.

**WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2804 OF 2015**

Shri Shashikant Ankush Galande & Ors. ....Petitioners.  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ....Respondents.

**WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2780 OF 2015**

Prakash shankar Shinde & Anr. ....Petitioners.  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ....Respondents.

Mr. N.V. Bandiwadekar a/w Mr. Sagar A. Mane for the Petitioners.  
Mr. V.N. Sagare, AGP for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA AND  
K.R. SHRIRAM, JJ.  
DATE : 24 MARCH 2015.**

**P.C.:-**

Writ Petition No. 2780 of 2015 is not on board.

Mentioned. Taken on board.

2 Rule made returnable forthwith.

3 Heard finally by consent of the parties.

4           We are inclined to dispose of all these Writ Petitions, as the grievances so raised, can be considered by Respondent No.2-the Education Officer by deciding the application for approval of the appointment of respective Petitioner No.1, who is/are working as Shikshan Sevak/Teacher/Assistant/Junior Clerk with Petitioner No.2-respective School/Institute. All these Applications, even otherwise, are required to be decided in accordance with law.

5           Resultantly, the following order:-

**ORDER**

- a) Respondent No.2 is directed to decide the Application for approval so filed by the Petitioner/s in accordance with law, as early as possible, preferably within eight weeks from today.
- b) All the Petitions are disposed of with liberty.
- c) Rule in all the Petitions disposed of, accordingly.
- d) The parties to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

**(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)**

**(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)**