

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION No. 514 OF 2014

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2350 OF 2013

Ramchandra Satappa Bandiwadekar.

..Petitioner.

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Others.

..Respondents.

Mr. Abhishek Yende for the Petitioner.

Mr.J. P. Yagnik, learned APP for the State.

Coram : RANJIT MORE &
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.

Date : **March 2, 2015.**

P. C. :

1. For the reasons recorded in paragraphs 2 to 6, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). Writ petition stands restored to the file. Application stands disposed of.

[SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2350 OF 2013

Ramchandra Satappa Bandiwadekar. ..Petitioner.
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Others. ..Respondents.

Mr. Abhishek Yende for the Petitioner.
Mr.J. P. Yagnik, learned APP for the State.

Coram : RANJIT MORE &
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.
Date : **March 2, 2015.**

P. C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner. By this petition, the Petitioner is seeking transfer of investigation of C.R.No. 32 of 2012 registered with Chandgad Police Station to the State C.I.D.
2. Earlier, the Petitioner had filed a writ petition in this Court, being Writ Petition No.3682 of 2012 for similar reliefs which came to be disposed of on 30th October 2012. This Court refused to accede to the request of the Petitioner, however, directed the concerned authority to dispose of representation made by the Petitioner in accordance with law within a time bound manner. The Petitioner's representation was thereafter considered by the concerned authority. Charge-sheet is filed against the accused persons. So far as present petitioner is concerned, he is shown as absconding accused. In the circumstances, we are not inclined to entertain this writ petition and same is accordingly dismissed.

[SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]