

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 10644 OF 2014

Pramod Yashwantrao Telang.	...	Petitioner.
V/s.		
The State of Maharashtra and others.	...	Respondents.

L.R.Shukla i/b. Legal Vision for the petitioner.

PPKakade, AGP for the respondents.

**CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL AND
A.S. GADKARI, JJ.**

DATED : 13th February 2015.

P.C.

Heard.

2. Learned A.G.P. submits that under section 6B of the Bombay Home Guards Act, 1947 the petitioner has alternate efficacious remedy to file appeal. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that show-cause-notice as to why petitioner should not be terminated has already been issued to the petitioner. We clarify that no further orders be passed against the petitioner without providing him opportunity of hearing. Petitioner is at liberty to resort to alternate remedy. We direct that in case the petitioner approaches the appellate authority within two weeks, the

appellate authority shall pass appropriate order on the appeal within four weeks from the date of filing of appeal. Petitioner shall co-operate with the hearing of appeal.

3. With the aforesaid observations, petition is disposed of.

4. In view of disposal of writ petition, civil application does not survive. The same is disposed of accordingly.

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)

Sanjay Nanoskar, PS..

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)