

hvn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 11200 OF 2014
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 11226 OF 2014**

Shri Balaram K. Shid

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. J.S. Kini along with Mr.J.M. Puranik for the petitioner in both the petitions.

Ms.Aparna Vhatkar, A.G.P. For respondent nos. 1, 4, 5 and 6 in both the petitions.

Mr. Rajesh Datar for respondent nos. 2 and 3 in both petitions.

CORAM : M.S. SONAK, J.

DATED : MARCH 09, 2015

P.C.

This petitions are directed against the order dated 22nd October, 2014 made by the Additional Commissioner, Konkan Division, under the provisions of Maharashtra Land Revenue Code, 1966 (said Code).

2. As against the impugned order, revision would lie to the State Government under section 257 of the Code. Accordingly it is not necessary to entertain the present petitions.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would institute revision petition within ten days from today. If such revision petition is instituted within ten days from today, then the same shall be considered by the State Government on its own merits without adverting to the issue of limitation. This is because the present petitions had been instituted by the petitioners on 14th November, 2014 which was well within the period of limitation prescribed under the Code for institution of revision petition.

4. Except for the aforesaid, it is clarified that this court has not gone into the merits of the matter and all contentions of all parties are left open to be decided by the State Government in exercise of its revisional jurisdiction. With the aforesaid observations, present petitions are disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S. Sonak,J.)