

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.10577 OF 2012

Office Notes, Office
memorandum of Coram,
appearances, Court's
orders or directions &
Registrar's orders.

Court's or
Judge's orders.

Shri R.V. Bansode for the Petitioner.
Shri Abhijeet Rane for Respondent No.3.

—

CORAM : A.S. OKA & A.K.MENON, JJ
DATED : 17TH FEBRUARY 2015

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel appearing for the
Petitioner. Admittedly, the Petitioner is a borrower of
the Third Respondent. The first prayer is for
directing the Respondents to grant a period of one
year to the Petitioner to repay the outstanding dues.
Such a prayer in a Petition under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India is misconceived. The second
prayer which is the only other substantive relief
sought is for directing the Respondents to produce
documents of the flat premises subject matter of this
Petition before this Court. Both the prayers are

completely misconceived and cannot be considered in a Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner has submitted an offer for settlement to the Third Respondent.

2. It is for the Third Respondent to deal with the said offer and no relief can be granted to the Petitioner. Hence, the Petition is rejected.

(A.K.MENON, J)

(A.S.OKA, J)