

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 133 OF 2011

Sandeep Sharadchandra Thakur	...	Petitioner.
V/s.		
CIDCO of Maharashtra Limited and others.	...	Respondents.

Petitioner in person.

G.S.Hegde for respondent No.1.

P.G.Sawant, AGP for respondent No.2.

Vachan Bodhe i/b. Chitnis Vaity for respondent No.3.

Yuvraj P. Narvankar i/b. Shipla Kapil for respondent No.4.

Madhur Rai i/b. PRS Legal for respondent Nos.5 and 7.

Pratik Sackseria with Ms.Naina Jain i/b. L.J. Law for respondent No.6.

**CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL AND
S.B. SHUKRE, JJ.**

DATED : 15th June 2015.

PC.

The respondent- CIDCO has filed affidavits on record. Learned counsel appearing for CIDCO submits that directions issued by this Court are complied with. The petitioner appearing in person submits that directions are not fully complied with. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.6 submits that some of the respondents have challenged the notice issued by CIDCO and some have made representation to the CIDCO. In this view of the matter, no further interference is warranted.

(S.B. SHUKRE, J.)
Sanjay Nanoskar, PS.

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)