

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 3818 OF 2014

Ameya Vilas Dongare .. Petitioner

Versus

Mrs. Antara Ameya Dongare & Anr. .. Respondents

Mr. P. M. Khankar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. N. S. Parkhe, Advocate for the respondent No. 1.
Mr. D. R. More, APP for the respondent-State.

CORAM:-M.L. TAHALIYANI,J.
DATED : -08/01/2015

P.C.

Admit. Respondents waive service of notice. By consent of the parties the petition is heard finally.

2 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned advocate for respondent No. 1 and learned APP for the respondent No. 2 - State.

3 The petitioner is aggrieved by the orders passed by the learned Magistrate and the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Thane in M.A. No. 264/2010 and Appeal No. 22/2014. Respondent No. 1 had filed an application for grant of monetary relief for the daughter

of the respondent No. 1 and the petitioner. The learned Magistrate vide impugned order directed that the petitioner shall pay Rs.3,000/- per month to the daughter of the petitioner and respondent No. 1 to meet the expenses of daughter towards education and other expenses. The appellate court maintained the said order. The petitioner made an application before the trial Magistrate for splitting the order so that the petitioner might pay Rs.1500/- to meet the expenses towards education and remaining Rs.1500/- for rest of the expenses. The said prayer has been rejected. The appeal filed by the petitioner has been dismissed.

4 During the course of hearing of this petition, it was pointed out to the learned advocate for the petitioner that it hardly makes any difference whether the order is splitted or not. It was also pointed out to him that it may be difficult or rather impossible for the petitioner to monitor as to whether the amount of Rs.1500/- has been spent for education or otherwise.

5 The petition appears to be without any merits and it is dismissed.

(JUDGE)

md.saleem