

SSK

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 260 OF 2014**

Rohini Macker
versus
Veepane Macker

....Appellant

....Respondent

Ms. Pooja Jalan, advocate for the appellant.
Mr. P. R. Kadam, advocate for the respondent.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
A. P. BHANGALE, JJ.**

DATED : 7th MAY, 2015.

P.C.:

Heard learned counsel for the appellant. Perused the Mediator's report dated 31st March, 2015. The said report discloses that mediation has failed. The appeal will have to be heard on merits. Therefore, Admit. Hearing is expedited.

(A. P. BHANGALE, J.)

(RANJIT MORE, J.)

SSK

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 352 OF 2014
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 260 OF 2014**

Rohini Macker
versus
Veepane Macker

....Appellant
....Respondent

Ms. Pooja Jalan, advocate for the appellant.
Mr. P. R. Kadam, advocate for the respondent.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
A. P. BHANGALE, JJ.**

DATED : 7th MAY, 2015.

P.C.:

By a separate order passed today, we have admitted family court appeal No.260 of 2014. In that view of the matter, during the pendency of the final disposal of the said appeal, the impugned order and decree is required to be stayed. The civil application is, accordingly, allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and is disposed of as such.

(A. P. BHANGALE, J.)

(RANJIT MORE, J.)