

Anand

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

BAIL APPLICATION NO.1919 OF 2014

Sukrut Manohar Khodade .Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra .Respondent

Ms Sartaj Shaikh, Advocate, for the Applicant
Mrs.P.P.Shinde, APP, for the Respondent -
State

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

DATE : 28TH APRIL, 2015

P.C.

. Heard the learned counsel for the
applicant and the learned APP for the
respondent – State.

2. By this application, the applicant
seeks his enlargement on bail in connection
with C.R.No.I-501 of 2013 registered with the
Virar Police Station, Thane (Rural), for the
alleged offences punishable under Sections
342, 364A, 504, 506 r/w.34 of the Indian Penal

Code.

3. The incident has taken place on 02.12.2013 at about 10.00 p.m. in the night. It is alleged by the complainant Vinod Mishrilal Kevat, who is the father of the kidnapped child, aged 12 years, that his son Gautam did not return home on 02.12.2013, after playing till 10.00 p.m. and hence, a missing complaint was lodged on 03.12.2013 with the Virar Police Station.

4. It appears that the complainant received a call from the kidnappers, who sought ransom of Rs.1,00,000/- from the complainant. The caller was identified as being Chotu, living in the same locality. Pursuant to the said call, FIR was lodged with the Virar Police Station on 03.12.2013 as against Chotu. It appears from the prosecution case, that the complainant's son, Gautam was confined in a private lodge by the present

applicant and the co-accused.

5. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant was 19 years old at the time of the incident, and that the investigation is complete and charge-sheet has been filed and hence, the applicant be enlarged on bail.

6. Perused the charge-sheet. It appears that the applicant was caught red handed at the spot, in the room, where the boy was detained in the lodge. It appears that the victim boy has specifically stated that the applicant along with the two co-accused had put him in gunny bag and had put grass over him and had gagged his mouth, with a piece of cloth and had taken him on the motor cycle.

7. Considering the material on record and the nature of allegations as against the applicant, this is not a fit case to enlarge

the applicant on bail. However, the trial is expedited. The learned Judge shall decide the case as expeditiously as possible.

8. Accordingly, the application is rejected & disposed of.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)