

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 235 OF 2014

Shri Sohail Ahmad Mushtaque Ahmad and others. ... Petitioner.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and others. ... Respondents.

Abhishek Yende for the petitioner.

Vikas Mali, AGP for respondent Nos.1 and 4.

Ajay Magdum i/b. S.S.Patwardhan for respondent No.2.

**CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL AND
V.L. ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATED : 25th March 2015.

PC.

Heard.

2. It is submitted that Corporation is not in a position to pay the salary of the teachers. Objection is to passing of the impugned resolution.

3. We have perused the concerned resolution dated 4th December 2013. Petitioner No.2 is a Corporator. He may raise appropriate issues before alternate forum. If the petitioners have any

grievance against the resolution passed by the Corporation under law, the petitioners may resort to alternate remedy. As to in what manner the Corporation should run its affairs and as to how they should raise finance is for the Corporation to decide. We are not inclined to entertain this petition. Petition is dismissed.

(VL. ACHLIYA, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)

Sanjay Nanoskar, PS..