

nsc.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1142 OF 2014

Ashish B. Karia and Ors.	...	Applicants
V/s.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

WITH
INTERVENTION APPLICATION NO.870 OF 2014
IN
CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1142 OF 2014

Manish Bansal	...	Intervener
and		
Ashish B. Karia and Ors.	...	Applicants.
V/s.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

WITH
INTERVENTION APPLICATION NO.73 OF 2015
IN
CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1142 OF 2014

Manish Kumar Bansal	...	Intervener
---------------------	-----	------------

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Ashish B. Karia	...	Applicant
V/s.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

WITH
CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1199 OF 2014

Sandeep M. Tadge	...	Applicant
V/s.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

Manish Kumar Bansal ... Intervener/Complainant

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

 $\dot{V}/s.$

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Ms.Nivedita Kundogi, i/b M/s. Dewani & Associates in APPP Nos.73 and 74 of 2015.

Ms.P.P.Shinde, APP for the Respondent – State.

DATED : 12th MARCH, 2015.

P.C.

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant, learned APP for the State, and the learned counsel for the complainant.
2. The applicants have sought pre-arrest bail in connection with C.R. no.362 of 2014, registered with the Dindoshi Police Station, Mumbai, for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 420, 506 (2) and 34 of the

Indian Penal Code.

3. Both, the learned counsel for the applicants and the learned counsel for the original complainant state that the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement and have entered into consent terms. The said consent terms are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

4. Perused the Consent Terms. The complainants have withdrawn all allegations as against the applicants. The applicants state that they will take appropriate steps for having the proceedings quashed, as against them.

5. Learned Counsel for the applicants identifies the signatures of the applicants as it appears on the Consent Terms. Similarly the learned counsel for the original complainants identifies the signatures of the complainant as it appears on the Consent Terms.

6. The interim order granted vide order dated 5th September, 2014, is accordingly made absolute on the following terms and conditions :-

ORDER

- i) In the event of the arrest, the Applicants be enlarged on bail on furnishing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each with one or two sureties in the like amount ;

7. The Application is allowed and accordingly disposed of .

8. Parties to act upon the authenticated copy of this order.

9. In view of the order passed in Criminal Anticipatory Bail Application 1142 of 2014, nothing survives for consideration in the interlocutory applications. The same are also disposed of.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)