

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1137 OF 2014

Pravin Laxman Mhatre	...Applicant
vs.	
State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Mr. Kedar Patil, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mrs. R.A. Ambekar, APP for the State.

CORAM : P.D. KODE, J.

DATE : JANUARY 19, 2015

P.C.

. The perusal of the say filed by the prosecution does not reveal any reason repelling the reasons for which the ad-interim direction has been granted by my predecessor. Apparently it appears that the complainant has advanced money to the friend of the applicant for gaining interest at rate more than that of rate of bank interest.

2. The role allegedly played by the applicant in the said transaction makes it difficult to

accede that his custodial interrogation is necessary for completion of investigation.

3. Resultantly, the ad-interim direction given by my predecessor is hereby confirmed on earlier terms and conditions. Applicant to make the best efforts for helping the complainant for recovery of his money.

Application stands disposed of.

(P.D. KODE, J.)