

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 125 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 7937 OF 2014

Anil Nathuram More .. Review Petitioner
vs.
Vincent A. Mendonza & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Anil More - Petitioner present in person.

CORAM : M. S. SONAK, J.
DATE: 12 OCTOBER 2015

P.C. :-

1] There is no case made out to review the order dated 21 July 2015. The Petitioner seeks to place reliance upon the averments made in his written statement or upon certain documents to contend that proceedings under the Maharashtra Rent Control Act, 1999 are not maintainable. At the stage of deciding an application under Order VII Rule 11(d) of the CPC, it is not permissible to advert to the defence of the Petitioner. The defence of the Petitioner, will no doubt be taken into consideration at the appropriate stage by the Trial Court. Accordingly, this review petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)