

ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MUMBAI
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1410 OF 2013
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO. 207 OF 2005

Arjun Janu Gaikar and others .. Applicants

Vs.

Manohar Mahadeo Keni (decd)
through his heirs

1a.Smt.Kalubai Manohar Keni and others .. Respondents

Mr.R.P.Lote, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr.Onkar Warange i/b Mr.Rajiv Patil, Advocate for Respondents No.
1a to 1g & 2.

CORAM : R. G. KETKAR, J.

DATE : 24th APRIL, 2015

P.C. :

. Heard Mr.R.P.Lote, learned Counsel for the applicants
and Mr. Onkar Warange, learned Counsel for respondents No.1a to
1g & 2.

2. Mr.Lote states that respondents No.1a to 1g are served
in Civil Application No. 1652 of 2013 as per affidavit of service filed
by the applicants. However, none appears on their behalf. In view
thereof and for the reasons stated in the application, Civil
Application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a), (b) & (c) with
no order as to costs. Amendment in the main appeal shall be carried
out within 14 days from today.

(R. G. KETKAR, J.)

