

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION No. 8134 OF 2014

Ghulam Rasool Abdul Wahid Khwaja & Ors.	...	Petitioners
vs.		
The State of Maharashtra, through S.D.O. & Spl. Land Acquisition Officer & Ors.	...	Respondents

Mr. N.R. Bubna, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. C.P. Yadav, AGP for respondent no. 1.

Mr. R.B. Raghuvanshi i/b. Ms. Rutuja Amberkar, Advocate for respondent nos. 2 to 23.

Mr. Ankit Kulkarni i/b. Little & Co., Advocate for respondent no. 24.

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK &
C.V. BHADANG, JJ.**

DATE : 2nd February, 2015.

P.C.

Heard.

Mr. Bubna, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners would file an application seeking a reference of the dispute in regard to the payment of compensation under section 35 of the M.I.D.C. Act to the State Government and the State Government should be directed to refer the matter to the Civil Court. The learned counsel seeks permission to withdraw the Writ Petition in this background.

The petitioners are permitted to withdraw the Writ Petition.

The Writ Petition is disposed of as withdrawn. However, if the petitioners file an application under section 35 of the M.I.D.C. Act, the concerned officer of the State should take a decision for making the reference of the same to the Civil Court as early as possible and positively within a period of four weeks.

Order accordingly.

(C.V. BHADANG, J.)

(VASANTI A. NAIK, J.)