

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.9225 OF 2015

Mr. Sudhakar Mahadev Gaikwad.] ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Collector, Solapur,]
 2. Sub-Divisional Officer, Pandharpur,]
 3. The Tahasildar, Pandharpur, Dist. Solapur.] ... Respondents

Mr. Ajay A. Joshi for Petitioner.

Mrs. Vaishali Nimbalkar, A.G.P. for Respondents.

CORAM :- M. S. SONAK, J.

DATE :- SEPTEMBER 15, 2015

P. C. :-

1. **Not on board. Upon production, taken on board.**

2. There is no reason to entertain this petition. From the averments made in the petition, it appears that the Sub-Divisional Officer has made the impugned order under the provisions of Maharashtra Land Revenue Code. There are sufficient provisions under the Code in matters of institution of appeal as well as revision. In view of the availability of alternate and efficacious remedy, there is no reason to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction.

3. The petition is accordingly disposed of.
4. However, the disposal of this petition, will not bar this Petitioner from availing the alternate remedy under the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

CERTIFICATE

Certified to be true and correct copy of the original signed
Order.