

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 170 OF 2015
IN
REVIEW PETITION (STAMP) NO. 19875 OF 2015
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 277 OF 2009

Shahin Mohammad Akbar Alekar	.. Petitioner
<u>In the matter between :</u>	
Roha Municipal Council	..Applicant
vs.	
Shahin Mohammad Akbar Alekar	..Respondent

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 171 OF 2015
IN
REVIEW PETITION (STAMP) NO. 19857 OF 2015
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 278 OF 2009

Rafiq Gafoor Natuskar & Ors.	.. Petitioners
<u>In the matter between :</u>	
Roha Municipal Council	..Applicant
vs.	
Rafiq Gafoor Natuskar & Ors.	..Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 172 OF 2015
IN
REVIEW PETITION (STAMP) NO. 19840 OF 2015
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 279 OF 2009

Smt. Noorjahna Isaq Noorji & Ors.	.. Petitioners
<u>In the matter between :</u>	
Roha Municipal Council	..Applicant
vs.	
Smt. Noorjahna Isaq Noorji & Ors.	..Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 173 OF 2015
IN
REVIEW PETITION (STAMP) NO. 19893 OF 2015
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 280 OF 2009

Samad Shaikh Ali Janjirkar	.. Petitioner
<u>In the matter between :</u>	
Roha Municipal Council	..Applicant
vs.	
Samad Shaikh Ali Janjirkar	..Respondent

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 174 OF 2015
IN
REVIEW PETITION (STAMP) NO. 19821 OF 2015
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 281 OF 2009

Narayan Chilaji More	.. Petitioner
<u>In the matter between :</u>	
Roha Municipal Council	..Applicant
vs.	
Narayan Chilaji More	..Respondent

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 175 OF 2015
IN
REVIEW PETITION (STAMP) NO. 19836 OF 2015
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 282 OF 2009

Prakashchandra Sohanlal Gandhi	.. Petitioner
<u>In the matter between :</u>	
Roha Municipal Council	..Applicant
vs.	
Prakashchandra Sohanlal Gandhi	..Respondent

Mr. Surel Shah with Mr. Saurabh Butala i/b. Mr. Shashank C. Mangle for Petitioners in C.As. And for Respondents in R.Ps.
Mr. Nitin Gangal for Roha Municipal Corporation.

CORAM : M. S. SONAK, J.
DATE : 20 AUGUST 2015

P.C. :-

1] There is sufficient cause shown for the delay in filing the review petitions. Accordingly, the delay is condoned.

2] Civil applications are disposed of.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

Chandka