

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.116 OF 2015
IN
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.111 OF 2003

Prashant Vichare

.... Applicant/
Intervener

In the matter between

Faquirchand Sharma

.... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Respondents

Mr. S.M. Oak i/b Mr. Pranil Sonawane for the
Applicant/Intervener.

None for the Petitioner.

Ms M.P. Thakur, AGP, for Respondent No.1-State.

Mr. A.Y. Sakhare, Senior Advocate with Ms Trupti
Puranik for Respondent No.2.

CORAM: A.S. OKA &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.

DATED: JULY 27, 2015

P.C:

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the
applicant and the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the
second respondent. In view of what is stated in the affidavit

tendered in the main PIL, the application deserves to be allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). The learned counsel for the applicant in the application seeks time to address the Court as far as prayer clause (b) is concerned. After hearing the applicant on merits, appropriate order can be always passed in the PIL. The application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and is accordingly disposed of.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)

(A.S. OKA, J.)