

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.6626 OF 2013

Shri Hanumant Jagannth Mhetre ... Petitioner

Vs.

The Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr.V.D. Raut for the Petitioner
Mr.Deepak More for Respondents

**CORAM: SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK &
SHRI C.V. BHADANG, JJ.**

DATE: 3rd MARCH, 2015

P.C.:

Mr.Raut, the learned Counsel for the petitioner, states that during the pendency of the writ petition, the petitioner has been promoted and the purpose of filing the writ petition stands served. Mr.More, the learned Counsel appearing for the respondent – employer, does not dispute this position.

In view of the statement made by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with no order as to costs.

(C.V. BHADANG, J.)

(VASANTI A. NAIK, J.)