

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO.92 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2379 OF 2013

Mrs. Hetal Jignesh Parekh	...	Petitioner
Vs.		
Shri Jignesh Vinod Parekh	...	Respondent

Mandar Limaye, Adv. a/w. Vaibhav Patankar, Adv. for petitioner.

CORAM : MRS. ROSHAN DALVI, J.
DATE : 21st August, 2015.

P.C. :

1. The order under challenge is the order confirming the order of the Family Court for refusal to grant interim maintenance. The applicant / petitioner wife is seen to be having a bank account and fixed deposit and seen to be filing income tax returns. She is not entitled to interim maintenance. It is for her to proceed with the final trial of her petition so that she would be cross examined upon her case. Until then the order of the Family Court stands and the impugned order does not deserve to be reviewed.

2. The Review Petition is misconceived and hence dismissed.

(ROSHAN DALVI, J.)