

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION No. 6751 OF 2014

Gulabsingh Kisan Patil	...	Petitioner
VS.		
Icles Motilal Zunzunwala College of Arts, Science & Commerce, through Principal & Ors.	...	Respondents

Mr. Anant Vadgaonkar, Advocate i/b. Mr. C.S. Patil, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind G. Kothari, Advocate for respondent no. 1.

Mr. Vikas Mali, AGP for respondent nos. 2 and 3.

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK &
C.V. BHADANG, JJ.**

DATE : 12th February, 2015.

P.C.

Heard.

The petitioner has made a grievance that though the charge sheet is issued to the petitioner and the petitioner had replied the same, the respondent no. 1 had not initiated an enquiry.

The learned counsel for the respondent/management states that the enquiry has commenced and the management would conclude the enquiry within a period of four months.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the respondent/management, the grievance of the petitioner would not survive.

Hence, we dispose of the Writ Petition, with no order as to costs.

The points raised by the petitioner in the Writ Petition are kept open.

(C.V. BHADANG, J.)

(VASANTI A. NAIK, J.)