

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 6155 OF 2013**

Shri Suraj Ramesh Parmar

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Ms.Gauri Godse for petitioner.

Mr.V.N. Sagare, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 5.

**CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA,  
K.R. SHRIRAM, JJ.  
DATED : 13<sup>TH</sup> APRIL, 2015**

**P.C.**

1 Rule made returnable forthwith and heard finally by consent of the parties.

2 This is one of the case from various cases wherein inspite of repeated communications, the concerned respondents failed to take decision and that resulted in filing of the present writ petition by the petitioner. In view of the averment so made in the petition and in the interest of justice, we are inclined to dispose of the present petition with the following directions :

- (i) Respondent no.3-The District Collector to hold enquiry and submit enquiry report as per letter dated 24<sup>th</sup> October 2011 as referred in letter dated 6<sup>th</sup> February 2012 by the State Public Information Officer from office of

respondent no.2-Divisional Commissioner within four months;

(ii) Respondent no.2 to decide RTI application dated 10<sup>th</sup> January 2012 filed by the petitioner in accordance with law.

(iii) Respondent no.1-State Government is concerned, the complaint dated 28<sup>th</sup> September 2011 and representation dated 8<sup>th</sup> April 2013 which are pending since long also need to be decided after submission of enquiry report as referred above, including letter dated 6<sup>th</sup> February 2012, as early as possible and within three months thereafter.

3 The petition and rule is accordingly disposed of with liberty. All points kept open.

No costs.

**(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)**

**(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)**