

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION NO. 2858 OF 2015

Maharashtra State Road Transport
Corporation.

... Petitioner

v/s

Kutubuddin Babu Dhage

... Respondent

Mr.C.M. Lokesh i/by G.S. Hegde & Associates for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S. Topkar for the respondent.
Respondent present in Court.

CORAM: N.M. JAMDAR, J.

DATED : 2 SEPTEMBER 2015

P.C.:

Learned counsel for the parties state that parties have executed consent terms. Learned counsel for the Respondent states that the Respondent is present in Court. Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that though the officer of the Petitioner has not signed the consent terms, specific written instructions have been received from the Deputy General Manager (Legal), to settle the dispute. He tenders a copy of the letter which is taken on record.

2 At the request of the parties, the consent terms are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

3 The petition is disposed of in terms of the consent terms. The undertakings recorded in the consent terms are accepted.

(N. M. JAMDAR, J.)