

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.88 OF 2008

Geeta Surendra Vaidya ...Appellant
vs.
Surendra Dattatraya Vaidya ...Respondent

Mr.K.S.Patil for the appellant
Mr.R.R.Salvi for the respondent

CORAM : A.S.OKA, &
A.K.MENON, JJ.
DATE : JANUARY 16, 2015

1 The learned counsel for the parties have
tendered consent terms. The consent terms are taken
on record and marked `X' for identification. The
learned counsel for the parties state that both the
appellant and the respondent have signed the consent
terms and that even both of them have signed the
consent terms as Advocates.

2 On instructions, the learned counsel appearing
for both the parties state that since the year 2009,
the parties have resumed co-habitation and since
then, they are happily living together as wife and
husband.

3 Hence, we dispose of the petition by passing
the following order:

(I) Impugned Judgment and decree dated 15th April 2004 passed by the Family Court, Bandra,

Mumbai in Petition No.A-659/2004 is hereby set aside;

(II) The statements and undertakings in the consent terms are accepted;

(III) In view of the consent terms, Petition No.A-659/2004 hereby stands dismissed;

(IV) Appeal is disposed of on above terms;

(V) There will be no order as to costs.

(A.K.MENON,J.)

(A.S.OKA,J.)