

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 966 OF 2015

Vinod Vishnu Bandal. ... Applicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Mr. Sandip M. Jangam, advocate appointed for Applicant.

Mr. S.H. Yadav, APP for State.

CORAM : SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV,J
DATE : JULY 1, 2015

P.C.:

1 The learned APP has filed report which indicates that the applicant is an accused in Sessions Case No. 206 of 2011. The prosecution has recorded evidence of four witnesses and the next schedule date for examination of Dr. B.B. Jain is on 10/7/2015. In view of this, the applicant does not deserve bail in the midst of the trial. Hence the application is rejected. The same is disposed of accordingly.

2 The learned Counsel appointed for the applicant has put in the best efforts to espouse the cause of the applicant. The professional fees is quantified at Rs. 1000/-. The same be paid to the learned Counsel so appointed within 3 months from today.

3 Office to communicate this order to the applicant who is in jail.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV,J)