

SSK

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.337 OF 2013
IN
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 344 OF 2012
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 161 OF 2012**

Archana Sandeep PashankarPetitioner
versus
Sandeep Gulab PashankarRespondent

Mr. V. A. Gangal and Mr. Ashok T. Gade, advocates for the petitioner.
Mr. Uday P. Warunjikar, advocate for the respondent.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

**DATED : 19th AUGUST, 2015.
(In Chambers)**

P.C.:

Mr. Gangal, learned counsel for the petitioner, makes a statement that the parties have amicably settled the dispute between them and have filed consent terms in family court appeal Nos. 135 and 161 of 2012. In view of the statement, we do not wish to proceed further with the contempt petition. Rule stands discharged. The contempt petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

(RANJIT MORE, J.)