

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 210 OF 2007
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST.) NO. 13954 OF 2007

Shivanna L. Shetty
(since deceased through heirs and Lrs)
vs.
Prabhakar L. Narvekar
(since deceased through heirs and Lrs.) and ors.

Office Notes, Office
memorandum of Coram,
appearance, Court's
orders or directions &
Registrar's orders.

Court's or
Judge's orders.

Ms Asha Bhumbwani h/f. Ms Purnima Bhatia for the
Applicants.

Mr. S.P. Narvekar, Respondent No.1B present in person.

CORAM : M. S. SONAK, J.

DATE : 28 SEPTEMBER 2015.

P.C. :-

1] Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2] Initially as against the impugned order, the applicants had preferred a Writ Petition. Ultimately, it was held that the Writ Petition may not be a proper remedy and therefore, the petition was withdrawn with liberty to file a Civil Revision Application. Civil Revision Application was filed within a period of hardly two months from the date of withdrawal of the Writ Petition.

3] Considering the aforesaid circumstances as also, the cause set out in paragraph '3' of the Civil Application, the delay involved in instituting Civil Revision Application is condoned. Accordingly, Civil Application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) and same is disposed of.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

CERTIFICATE

“Certified to be true and correct copy of
original signed Judgment/Order.”