

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 196 OF 2015
IN
CRI. REVISION APPLICATION NO. 213 OF 2009**

Mr. Vijay D. Salvi ... Applicant.

V/s.

Shaikh Mohamad Tarik & Anr. ... Respondents.

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 197 OF 2015
IN
CRI. REVISION APPLICATION NO. 214 OF 2009**

Mr. Vijay D. Salvi ... Applicant.

V/s.

Prabhakar G. Sawant & Anr. ... Respondents.

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 199 OF 2015
IN
CRI. REVISION APPLICATION NO. 215 OF 2009**

Mr. Vijay D. Salvi ... Applicant.

V/s.

Laxman Sridhar Bijam & Anr. ... Respondents.

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 200 OF 2015
IN
CRI. REVISION APPLICATION NO. 216 OF 2009**

Mr. Vijay D. Salvi

... Applicant.

V/s.

Mukhtar Alam S. Shaikh & Anr.

... Respondents.

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 201 OF 2015
IN
CRI. REVISION APPLICATION NO. 217 OF 2009**

Mr. Vijay D. Salvi

... Applicant.

V/s.

Aziz Usman Khot & Anr.

... Respondents.

Mr. R. Mohan Roy, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. S.A. Vaidya, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Mr. V.B. Konde Deshmukh, APP for the State.

CORAM : M.L.TAHALIYANI,J.

DATE : 17th JULY, 2015

P.C. :

1 Heard the learned counsel appearing for the applicant in all the criminal applications. The applicant is complainant in criminal cases decided by the Metropolitan Magistrate. Respondent No.1 was convicted for the offence punishable under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. His appeals have been dismissed.

2 In the present criminal applications, the respondent no.1 has deposited 30% of the cheque amount in each of the case. The Applicant seeks to withdraw the said amount.

3 Since the criminal revision applications are pending and they are being contested, such a prayer cannot be granted.

4 All the above criminal applications are rejected.

(JUDGE)

.....