

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 893 OF 2015

Raju Sharda Shahani. ... Applicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Mr. Akash Kavade, advocate for Applicant.

Ms. Rutuja Ambekar, APP for State.

CORAM : SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV,J
DATE : AUGUST 4, 2015

P.C.:

1 The learned APP submits that in the present case two witnesses are examined by the prosecution. The trial has been expedited.

2 The learned Counsel appointed for the applicant submits that Dindoshi Sessions Court had expedited the matter. The applicant is praying for a time bound trial. Upon failure to hold time-bound trial, the applicant is also praying for transfer of the case to any other Sessions Court. The said transfer cannot be granted in an application seeking bail. Since the trial has already commenced, application

seeking bail need not be considered. The learned Counsel appointed for the applicant has insisted that the accused is entitled to an expeditious trial as it is his fundamental right. Since the trial is already expedited, the said submission need not be considered.

3 The learned Counsel appointed for the applicant has put in best of his efforts to espouse the cause of the applicant. The professional fees is quantified at Rs. 1000/- to be paid to the learned Counsel within 3 months from today.

4 Office to communicate the order to the applicant who is in jail.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV,J)