

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
Civil Appellate Jurisdiction

Writ Petition No. 4831 of 2015

(Santosh Mahadeorao Dhawan Vs. State of Maharashtra & ors.)

Office Notes, Office
Memoranda of appearances,
Court's orders or directions &
Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. S.S. Prabhune Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. P.G. Sawant, AGP, for respondent/State.
Mr. S.B. Shetye Advocate for respondent no. 4.

...

**CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL AND
S.B. SHUKRE, JJ.**

DATED : 03rd July 2015.

P.C. :

Petitioner's grievance is that the three seats in Ward No. 3 are reserved seats and by way of rotation at least one seat could have been kept as unreserved.

Counsel for the Election Commission states that as far as practicable, the authority decided that one seat in a ward be kept unreserved. This is the reply submitted by Tahsildar. It is also submitted that the election process is set in motion. Legal position is that as far as practicable, one seat in a ward will be kept unreserved.

In this view of the matter, in exercise of

extra-ordinary writ jurisdiction, no interference is called for.

Writ petition is disposed of.

(S.B. SHUKRE, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)

/Tanvir Ahmed/

P.S.