

Petitioners' structures are concerned. The learned Advocate for Petitioners contends that by Order dated 02/04/2014, Executive Engineer of the TMC has directed vacation of the suit structure within a period of 48 hours. This, according to the learned Advocate for the Petitioners, constitutes contempt.

3. The orders made in Writ Petition No.9303 of 2012 had directed status-quo insofar as structures of the Petitioners are concerned subject to the result of application for interim relief made by the Petitioners before the learned trial Judge. Admittedly, as of now, despite making of order dated 02/04/2014, no action as such was taken against the structures of the Petitioners. Accordingly, there is no reason to entertain the present Contempt Petition. It is clarified that the TMC shall maintain status-quo insofar as the structures of the Petitioners are concerned, until the application for interim relief is decided by the learned trial Judge. This is, of course, if the said application for interim relief has not yet been disposed of by now.

4. In case the application for interim relief has been decided against the Petitioner, then the TMC, relying upon the Order dated 02/04/2014, can always take action as provided under the law.

5. With the aforesaid observations, the present Contempt Petition is disposed of.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)