

4] The respondent Nos.2 to 7 are the accused in the case. The respondent Nos.2 to 5 are residents of Pune and the respondent Nos.6 and 7 are the residents of Amravati.

5] On the face of it, it does not appear that the transfer would be convenient to the respondents. It is possible that it would be convenient for the applicant, but solely on the ground that the transfer cannot be ordered irrespective of taking into consideration the convenience of the respondents. Moreover, the applicant is not required to remain present during the trial of the case throughout. She would be required to remain present only when her evidence is to be recorded.

6] After taking into consideration all the aspects of the matter, I am not inclined to allow the transfer.

7] The application is rejected.

(ABHAY M. THIPSAY, J.)

sbw