

SSK

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.1030 OF 2014**

Mr. Sajid Khan

....Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra through

a) The Commissioner of Police

b) The Dy.Commissioner of Police, City of Mumbai

c) The Inspector Incharge, Nirmal Nagar Police StationRespondents

Mr. Ashok M. Saraogi, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J. P. Yagnik, APP for the State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATED : 9th JUNE, 2015.

P.C.:

The petition is filed for direction to respondents to take cognizance of petitioner's complaint dated 29th January, 2014 and subsequent letter dated 7th March, 2014. Mr. Yagnik, learned APP, having taken instructions from the officer concerned, makes a statement that in pursuance of the said complaint, the petitioner's statement came to be recorded, preliminary inquiry was held and it was concluded that the dispute is of civil nature. The petitioner is also, accordingly, communicated. In support of the statement, he tenders a letter dated 23rd September, 2014 of Senior Police Inspector, Nirmal Nagar Police Station, Mumbai. The said letter is taken on record. In the light of the said statement and the letter, we are not inclined to entertain the petition. The petition, accordingly, stands dismissed.

2. Needless to say that the petitioner, is at liberty, to file a private complaint, if advised so.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

(RANJIT MORE, J.)