

vat

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION No. 94 OF 2015
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL No. 55 OF 2015

Umakant Basavraj MasaliApplicant/Appellant
vs.
Sou.Surekha Umakant MasaliRespondent

Mr.Milind Deshpande for Appellant
Mr. Priyal Sarda for Respondent

CORAM : V. M. KANADE &
Dr. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : OCTOBER 14, 2015

P.C. :

1. Heard. Admit. Liberty is granted to apply for fixed date of hearing.
2. The grievance of the Applicant is that the Respondent wife disturbs the Applicant by entering into his office premises and also his mother's house. It is submitted that it has become difficult for the respondent -husband to work peacefully in the office premises.
3. This allegation, however, is denied by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent-wife. It is submitted that no particulars have been mentioned in paragraph 9 of the application regarding the harassment.
4. The Appellant has challenged the order passed by the Family

Court, dismissing his petition for annulment on the ground of mental disorder and in the appeal, civil application is taken out by the Applicant.

5. Though the allegation is denied, since it is innocuous order of injunction, granting interim relief in terms of prayer clause (b), this interim order will not be treated as a ground for establishing the case Appellant-husband. Civil application is disposed of.

[Dr. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.]

[V. M. KANADE, J.]